

BR Co Planning Commission Board meeting September 28th, 2023

Discussion and review of ETC survey draft

- ❖ Why use a “9” rating for *Don't Know*
- ❖ Q1, discussion of use as a basic level-set for respondee's
- ❖ Q2, considerable discussion as to whether the survey questions are to cover high level, or pursue detailed responses. Input from DH - survey is to establish statistically valid responses as to needs and preferences of County citizens. County Commissioners will review the survey responses and pursue identified priorities in greater detail.
 - Q2 #7, this Board suggests striking the parenthetical descriptor for sake of consistency
 - Why a change to a rating scale of 3-1?
- ❖ Q3, no question or revision, good supportive discussion
- ❖ Q4, #4, this Board suggests striking the parenthetical descriptor for sake of consistency
- ❖ Q5, no question or revision, good supportive discussion
- ❖ Q6, suggest strike of “the addition of more”
- ❖ Q7, suggest strike of “additional”
 - Q7, #4 suggest strike of “further”
- ❖ Q8, no question or revision, good supportive discussion
- ❖ Q9, suggest adding “State” (i.e. State of Kansas), possible addition of “Library Services” (unclear whether we achieved agreement)
- ❖ Q10, no question or revision, good supportive discussion
- ❖ Q11, correct text to reflect “Question 10”
- ❖ Q12, no question or revision, good supportive discussion
- ❖ Q13, addition of “10. Library Services”
- ❖ Q14 - Q17, no question or revision, good supportive discussion
- ❖ Q18, suggest addition of “wind” to “...residential/private business **wind**/solar...”
- ❖ Q19, suggest addition of “Recreation” to listing
- ❖ Q20 - Q23, no question or revision, good supportive discussion
- ❖ Q24, suggest striking this Comment section - pending ETC expertise otherwise

Ample discussion whether the following topics - in some form - should be included (does not reflect the specific verbiage used, but rather is the Secretary's synopsis of the discussion)

- Tax level perspective:
 - i.e. already too high, wasteful spending & obligations, willingness to pay more tax for improved services, etc
- County & Local leadership
 - i.e. constituent satisfaction, transparency, constituent understanding of issue complexity, County / City / Township collaboration & efficiency opportunities, etc

Brown County Comprehensive Planning Commission Board Appointees (alphabetical):

Lafe Bailey, Cliff Heiniger, Griff Howard, Wyatt Kerl, Rex Lockwood, Bill Sechler, William Vonderschmidt & Dick Horton (Consultant to County Commissioners)

~ Wyatt Kerl, unable to attend

Next meeting pending revision & response from ETC